

THE GROUNDS FOR REPRESENTATIONS

- A. The alleged contravention did not occur.
- B. I was never the owner of the vehicle in question or I had ceased to be its owner before the date on which the alleged contravention occurred / or I became its owner after the date on which the alleged contravention occurred.
- C. At the time that the alleged contravention occurred, the vehicle in question was in the control of a person who did not have consent of the owner.
- D. We are a vehicle-hire firm and the vehicle was on hire under a hiring agreement and the hirer had signed a statement acknowledging liability for any PCN issued during the hiring period.
- E. The penalty charge exceeded the amount applicable in the circumstances of the case.
- F. There has been a procedural impropriety by the enforcement authority.
- G. The Order which is alleged to have been contravened in relation to the vehicle concerned is invalid.
- H. Where a regulation 10 penalty charge notice is served under 10(2)(b) or (c) of the 2022 General Regulations, no person prevented a civil enforcement officer from either fixing a regulation 9 penalty charge notice to the vehicle or handing the notice to the person in charge/owner of the vehicle.
- I. The penalty charge has been paid in full.
- J. If there are any other reasons why you consider the Council should cancel the penalty charge notice.